FOR COMMENT PERIOD ENDING JUNE 1. 2021



IFTA FULL TRACK PRELIMINARY BALLOT PROPOSAL #01-2021

Sponsor

IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees

Date Submitted

April 9, 2021

Proposed Effective Date

Upon Passage

Manual Sections to be Amended

(January 1996 Version, Effective July 1, 1998, as revised)

Articles of Agreement, Section R1555

Subject

Submission of a Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance to the Membership

History/Digest

Dating back to 2003, the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees ("Board") and the Program Compliance Review Committee ("PCRC") have been working to implement a report from the PCRC to establish a mechanism for the PCRC to initiate a dispute against a jurisdiction.

Following the failure of Ballot 12-2006 and 2-2007, Ballot 1-2009 passed with the creation of R1555.100.015, R1555.200, and R1555.300.

More recently, a survey of member jurisdictions indicated a need to review the DRC's processes. Subsequent discussions have revealed a discontent with the length of time a dispute can take from start to finish and with the overly legalistic nature of the process. One area identified as a contributing factor to those dissatisfactions is the requirement for a membership vote to transfer a finding of non-compliance from the PCRC to the DRC. Member jurisdictions have 30 days to vote on these matters.

On October 16, 2020, IFTA Inc. issued a non-binding survey which included the question: "Under R1555.300 of the Articles of Agreement, a vote of the member jurisdictions is required in order to initiate a dispute before the DRC in those cases where the Program Compliance Review Committee has made a Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance. To streamline the current compliance enforcement process, should R1555.300 be amended to eliminate the requirement of a membership vote?"

Of the 49 member jurisdictions which replied to this question in the survey, 35 were in favour and 14

replied "no." As the change referenced in the survey is a change to the Agreement, a ballot is required before any change can actually be made.

Since implementing this change to the agreement, there has been one vote.

As written, the agreement is clear as to what the outcome would be if a vote receives the required 2/3rds affirmative; however, it is silent as to the outcome if that threshold is not reached.

<u>Intent</u>

The intent of this ballot is to continue with the direction provided in question 2 of the October 16, 2020 survey and remove the requirement for a member jurisdiction vote on permitting a Final Determination of Non-Compliance to be advanced as a dispute. This change will not impact the sections of the Agreement in which a jurisdiction must be non-compliant before a dispute can be started. This also does not take away from the PCRC's reassessment and follow up processes.

Page 2 of 4

Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

.100	Disput	e Resolution Process	
	be res	es concerning issues of compliance with the International Fuel Tax Agreement may olved pursuant to the IFTA Dispute Resolution Process. The IFTA Dispute ution Process may be utilized to resolve only:	
	.005	Compliance disputes between member jurisdictions;	
	.010	Compliance disputes between member jurisdictions and IFTA licensees in those matters where no administrative remedy to the IFTA licensee is available within the member jurisdiction involved in the dispute. Compliance disputes subject to this section shall not include disputes between member jurisdictions and IFTA licensees over matters of substantive jurisdiction law, including but not limited to, laws governing the imposition, assessment, and collection of jurisdiction motor fuel use taxes collected pursuant to the International Fuel Tax Agreement; and	
	.015	Compliance matters where (i) the Program Compliance Review Process, including follow-up and/or reassessment, has been completed; and (ii) a Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance has been issued by the Program Compliance Review Committee related to Sections R970, R1210, R1230, R1260, R1270, R1370, R1380, P1040, A250 or A260U., and (iii) a recommendation for initiation of a dispute from the Program Compliance Review Committee has been approved by the member jurisdictions as defined in Article R1555.300.	
.200	Submission of a Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance to the Membership		
	Review R1380 whether complete	Il Determination Finding of Non-Compliance issued by the Program Compliance of Committee related to Sections R970, R1210, R1230, R1260, R1270, R1370, D, P1040, A250, or A260, shall be submitted to the membership to determine or a dispute will be initiated where follow-up and/or reassessment has been eted, shall be forwarded to the IFTA Dispute Resolution Committee with a copy Executive Director of IFTA, Inc. for notification to all member jurisdictions.	
.300	-Initiatio	n of a Dispute Based on a Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance	
.005		er jurisdictions will have thirty (30) days to vote on the initiation of a dispute on a Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance.	
.010	Compl	on the initiation of a dispute based on a Final Determination Finding of Nonliance must be cast by the IFTA commissioner or a delegate named in writing by mmissioner.	
.015		urposes of this section, a vote submitted electronically through a mechanism ed by the International Fuel Tax Association, Inc. is deemed a vote in writing.	
.020	An affi	rmative vote in writing of at least two-thirds of the total written votes cast is	

51		required to initiate a dispute based on a Final Determination Finding of Non-
52		Compliance.
53		
54	.025	If the member jurisdictions approve the initiation of a dispute based on a Final
55		Determination Finding of Non-Compliance, the Program Compliance Review
56		Committee shall forward the Final Determination Finding of Non-Compliance to the
57		IFTA Dispute Resolution Committee to initiate adispute.
58		